

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/877,248	06/11/2001	Jang-hoon Yoo	1293.1213	7975
21171	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HINDI, NABIL Z	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2655	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/877,248	YOO ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	NABIL Z HINDI	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8-11,14,15,19-22,24-26,29,30 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2,6,7,12,13,16-18,23,27,28 and 31 is/amonths.</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<i>nd 32-34</i> is/are rejected. are objected to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the lad a by the lad a by the lad and one of the lad and one o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 and 6. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2655

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 9, 11, 14, 15, 20, 22, 24-26, 29, 30, 32, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (6574182).

The reference shows an optical disk recording and reproducing apparatus for reading a first and second disks with different formats 11, 110 comprising: a light module for selectively emitting a first and second light beam having different wavelengths 1, a holographic light coupler guiding the light beam to a common path 6, an optical path changing unit to alter the common path of the reflected light beam 3 or 5, an objective lens 7, and photo detection means 9. The limitations of claim 26 could be found in figs 4B and 4C wherein the light beam is diffracted into a non-zero order (+/- first order) to be focused on the corresponding disk and reflected back to the photo detection means 9.

With respect to the limitation of claim 11 see figs 4a-4c.

With respect to the limitation of claim 14. the holographic element having stepped grating is employed within an apparatus with two light emitting sources ranging from 630-nm to 730nm. Therefor the depth of such grating must inherently fall within the claimed range.

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to the limitations of claims 15 and 19 see figs 2a-2c.

With respect to the limitation of claim 20 see element 9.

With respect to the limitations of claims 22 and 24 see figs 2B and 2C showing the use of a non-zero order light beam.

With respect to the limitation of claim 25 see element 7 having a spherical surface.

With respect to the limitations of claims 29, 30, 32, 33 and 34. the reference shows the use of a non-zero order light beam in figs 4B and 4C. The common light path-changing element is shown as elements 5 or 3 reflecting the reflected light beam to the photo detection means 9.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-11, 14, 15, 19-22, 24-26, 29, 30 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abe et al (6084843).

The reference shows an optical disk recording and reproducing apparatus reading different formatted disks 41a, 41b, a light module selectively emitting a first and second reading beams having different wavelengths 21, a holographic element guiding the light beams into a common path 25, an optical path changing element 23, an objective lens 26 and photo detection means 28. The limitations of claim 26 is found in figs 20, 21 31 and 32 showing the use of a non-zero light beam being focused on the corresponding disk and reflected back to the photo detection element.

With respect to the limitation of claim 3. the reference shows the use of a holographic element 25 wherein a non-perpendicular light beam is incident thereon 21A and transmitted into a common path (focusing direction).

Art Unit: 2655

With respect to the limitations of claims 4 and 14. The holographic element having stepped grating is employed within an apparatus with two light emitting sources ranging from 630-nm to 730nm. Therefor the depth of such grating must inherently fall within the claimed range see figs 14-16.

With respect to the limitation of claim 5 see figs 14-16.

With respect to the limitations of claims 8 and 21 see fig 4 elements 114 and 116.

With respect to the limitation of claim 10 see elements 21a, 21b and 25.

With respect to the limitation of claim 11 see figs 18-21.

With respect to the limitations of claims 15 and 19 see fig 14.

With respect to the limitation of claim 20 see fig 4 element 120.

With respect to the limitations of claims 22 and 24 see figs 20 and 21.

With respect to the limitation of claim 25 see element 26.

With respect to the limitations of claims 29, 30, 32, 33 and 34 see figs 20 and 21 regarding the first order light beam and element 114.

Claims 2, 6, 7, 12, 13, 16-18, 23, 27, 28, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows the objective lens structure as claimed, adjusting the hologram coupler position based on positional tolerance between the first and second light sources, the zero percentage transmittance and the holographic pattern depth difference

Art Unit: 2655

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6594042. and 6674709.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (703) 308-1555.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ROUP 2502